

National Association of State Energy Officials

Savannah, Georgia September 9, 2014

Frank Greb, President Energy Center of Wisconsin

www.ecw.org

Cost-based regulation...



...may be eclipsed by value-based market forces



A value proposition

- Brand A
 - Price = \$346 per year

- Brand B
 - Price = \$1 per year

A value proposition

Bottled water

Price = \$346 per year

Tap water

Price = \$1 per year

Fun fact

25% to 40%

The estimated amount of bottled water that is tap water.

Source: Natural Resources Defense Council

Consumer Reports recommendations

Drink tap water

Buy a reusable bottle

Source: "Bottled doesn't mean better," Consumer Reports, 2011.

Per-capita U.S. bottled water consumption in 2013



Source: Chris Hogan, "Bottled Water Trends for 2014," *Food Manufacturing Magazine*, January/February 2014.

What are the disruptive challenges facing electric utilities?

Disruptive challenges facing electric utilities

- Falling cost of distributed energy resources (and energy efficient technologies)
- Government programs to incentivize selected technologies (including energy efficiency)
- Slowing economic growth and declining price of natural gas

Rising electricity prices

Source: "Financial implications and strategic responses to a changing retail electric business, EEI, January 2013

Preference for Fuels Used to Generate Electricity Source: Stanford University



Wal-Mart

Expects to meet **20%** of its power needs through distributed generation by 2020.

Rebecca Smith & Cassandra Sweet, "Companies Unplug from the Electric Grid, Delivering a Jolt to Utilities," *Wall Street Journal*, Sept. 17, 2013.

Iowa Supreme Court

Third-party solar development should be encouraged because it helps to achieve the use of energy efficient and renewable energy sources.

Eagle Point Solar v. Iowa Utilities Board, 2014.

Potential Actions

Immediate:

- Institute a monthly customer service charge...
- Develop a tariff structure...
- Analyze revision of net metering programs...

Longer-term:

- Assess appropriateness of depreciation recovery...
- Consider a stranded cost charge...
- Consider a customer advance...
- Apply more stringent capital expenditure evaluation tools...
- Factor the threat of disruptive forces in the requested cost of capital being sought...

Identify new business models and services that can be provided...

Source: "Financial implications and strategic responses to a changing retail electric business, EEI, January 2013

Wisconsin utility proposals

How do we price electric service today?

small contribution

+ \$0.15 per kWh

large contribution

7

about 90% of costs are recovered through variable charges

shifting from variable to fixed cost recovery

	-				
					%
MONTHLY FIXED CHARGE		Current	P	Proposed	change
Madison Gas and Electric (3-year phase-in)	\$	10	\$	67	570%
We Energies	\$	9	\$	16	78%
Wisconsin Public Service Corp	\$	10	\$	25	150%
					%
VARIABLE CHARGE PER KWH		Current	P	Proposed	change
Madison Gas and Electric (3-year phase-in)	\$	0.15	\$	0.04	-73%
We Energies	\$	0.14	\$	0.13	-7%
Wisconsin Public Service Corp	\$	0.13	\$	0.10	-23%

Note: The table shows MGE's initial proposal that, if the PSC approved, would have phased in over three years. On August 15, 2014, MGE modified its proposal for the coming year to increase the fixed charge to \$19 and lower the volumetric charge to \$0.135 per kWh.

Utility position

- Protects utility investors
- Aligns prices with costs

Public Comments in Opposition



Fixed Charges

Practical problems with the rate design

American Electric Power Co.

We believe that there are a host of alternative regulatory strategies that are far more flexible and more closely aligned with traditional regulatory practices that can better achieve these goals.

American Electric Power Company, Issues in Electricity: Straight Fixed Variable.

https://www.aep.com/about/IssuesAndPositions/Financial/Regulatory/Alternat iveRegulation/StraightFixedVariable.aspx

American Electric Power's analysis

There can be great variation and debate in what should be considered a fixed cost.

in the long run all costs are variable

the more a customer uses, the bigger the facilities need to be

American Electric Power's analysis

All users within a rate class, large or small, are charged the same amount, instead of a proportional one, for fixed costs. This has the potential to adversely affect small users.

small users bear the consequences

American Electric Power's analysis

Another challenge that results from this mechanism is the weakening of the price signal received by customers. By removing fixed costs from the variable charges, <u>consumers lose the</u> incentive to engage in energy efficiency.



Current rates

Proposed rates

Impact: Environment

Elasticity impact

Table 1. Own-price elasticities of electricity demand



* The estimates for the commercial and industrial sector are from EPRI (2001).

Source: EPRI, Price Elasticity of Demand for Electricity: A Primer and Synthesis, 2008

increase in grid-based electricity consumption (and emissions)

Short run
-70% x -0.3 = +21%
Long run
-70% x -0.9 = +63%

Summary impacts of straight fixedvariable rate design:

- Insulates utility investors from competition (and from anything else that causes load to decline—risk is lowered)
- Hurts small users and those that have already invested in energy efficiency or distributed generation
- Helps large users
- Changes resource consumption
 - much less efficiency and renewable generation
 - much more use of grid-based power
 - noticeably higher utility emissions

Should we implement the straight fixed variable rate design?

Are there other options?

Identify new business models and services that can be provided by electric utilities in all states ... to recover lost margin while providing a valuable customer service—this was a key factor in the survival of the incumbent telephone players post deregulation

Source: "Financial implications and strategic responses to a changing retail electric business, EEI, January 2013

Framing the issue

Value, not cost is the frame

Investor rewards should depend on success in creating value Frank Greb President Energy Center of Wisconsin fgreb@ecw.org (608) 210-7121 www.ecw.org